

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/713,794	BATARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Rochelle Seide, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 April 2004
**Time:** 11am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding claims 11 and 14, and potential problems with the claims prior to allowance. Applicant's representative, while not acquiescing to the correctness of the Office's position on the claims, approved the attached Examiner's Amendment to the claims for the sole purpose of advancing the prosecution of the application.